1 2 3 NOT FOR CITATION IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 TIMOTHY JOHN STEPHENSON. No. C 05-3059 PJH (PR) ORDER OF TRANSFER 8 Petitioner, 9 VS. 10 M. S. EVANS, Warden, 11 Respondent. 12 13 This is a habeas case filed pro se by a state prisoner. Petitioner seeks to 14 challenge a conviction obtained in the Superior Court of Sacramento County. 15 Sacramento County is in the venue of the United States District Court for the Eastern 16 District of California. Petitioner is incarcerated at Salinas Valley State Prison, which is 17 in this district. 18 Venue for a habeas action is proper in either the district of confinement or the 19 district of conviction, 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d); however, petitions challenging a conviction 20 are preferably heard in the district of conviction. Habeas L.R. 2254-3(a); Laue v. 21 Nelson, 279 F. Supp. 265, 266 (N.D. Cal. 1968). Because petitioner was convicted in 22 Sacramento County, this case is TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for 23 the Eastern District of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a); Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b). IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 25 DATED: August <u>15</u>, 2005. 26 United States District Judge 27 G:\PRO-SE\PJH\HC.05\STEPHENSON059.TRN

28